

10-08-2003 - change in text & POINT OF VIEW

RE: Second Round of RM's for / against the "CW" issue's before the commission.

RM-10806 through RM-10811 {not clear if all the current numbers from the web site. Some RM's not listed with comment number for the FCC's RM number? ? ?

With regards to this filling, IS A ROAD BLOCK TO NEW HAMS,
BUT HAS SOME LIMITED MERIT

Fillings centering around selected band changes, and frequency ranges should not be a part of the process, as it tends to cloud up the mudd'y watters!

If every ham was ask, and every club filled a comment on the suggested band changes, then there would be about 680,000 plus diferent fillings with diferent ideas on how the structure should be.

Could this worked out by the ARRL who has had the best judgement in formulating band plans in the past.

A ARRL web survey could reduce the mater's as they have done in the past, but the footwork could be did by the ARRL web page!

This would be a great help to the FCC's WTB & ECFS personnel!

A recomendation by the ARRL would help.

Dale Reich
141 North Center St.
Seville, Ohio

exab8fq@hotmail.com